

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,015	07/17/2003	Ricardo Ernesto Espinoza-Ibarra	200308766-1	4602
22879	7590 12/22	2005	EXAM	INER
HEWLETT 1	PACKARD CON	SEMBER, 7	SEMBER, THOMAS M	
P O BOX 272400, 3404 E. HARMONY ROAD INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY ADMINISTRATION			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	NS CO 80527-2	2875		

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				AK.	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/623,015	ESPINOZA-IBARR	ESPINOZA-IBARRA ET AL.	
01	fice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Thomas M. Sember	2875		
Period for Rep	MAILING DATE of this communication ly				
WHICHEVE - Extensions of after SIX (6) I - If NO period f - Failure to rep Any reply rec	NED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE ER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication or reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pe by within the set or extended period for reply will, by selived by the Office later than three months after the nature of term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	3 DATE OF THIS COMINI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, m Interiod will apply and will expire SIX (6	UNICATION. lay a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this come ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠ Resp	onsive to communication(s) filed on 1	8 October 2005.			
2a\ This	action is FINAL 2b)⊠	This action is non-final.	•	., .	
3)☐ Since	e this application is in condition for allowed in accordance with the practice unc	owance except for formal der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935	matters, prosecution as to the C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	e merits is	
Disposition of	Claims				
4a) C 5)∏ Clair 6)⊠ Clair 7)∏ Clair	n(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicant the above claim(s) <u>5-8 and 10-20</u> is n(s) is/are allowed. n(s) <u>1-4 and 9</u> is/are rejected. n(s) is/are objected to. n(s) are subject to restriction a	/are withdrawn from cons	·		
Application P	apers				
10)☐ The Appl	specification is objected to by the Exadrawing(s) filed on is/are: a) icant may not request that any objection to accement drawing sheet(s) including the count or declaration is objected to by the] accepted or b) object o the drawing(s) be held in a orrection is required if the di	awing(s) is objected to. See 37 C	FR 1.121(d). TO-152.	
Priority unde	r 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Ackr a) A 1. 2. 3.	nowledgment is made of a claim for for b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been receive ments have been receive e priority documents have Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)	ed. ed in Application No been received in this Nationa).	al Stage	
2) Notice of	References Cited (PTO-892) Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	Pa Pa	erview Summary (PTO-413) per No(s)/Mail Date	TO-152)	
3) Information	on Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO (s)/Mail Date	/SB/08) り 上 🛰	tice of Informal Patent Application (Pher:		

Application/Control Number: 10/623,015

Art Unit: 2875

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of the species of figure 2 in the reply filed on 10/18/05 is acknowledged. In the grouping of the claims applicant indicates that claims 1-4 and 7-20 read of the species of figure 2. The examiner disagrees. The features claimed in claims 7-8 and 10-20 do not read on the species of figure 2 and applicant has failed to state on record that the features claimed in claims 7-8 and 10-20 are not patentable distinct features. Therefore, claims 7-8 and 10-20 have been withdrawn because they do not read on the elected species of figure 2.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 2. Claims 1-4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Mezei et al. Mezei et al discloses a light pipe comprising a lightpipe (1) having a major axis and a first end and a second end; a light emitting feature having a defined surface located along the length of said lightpipe, wherein said light emitting feature (3) is adapted to 48 transmit electromagnetic energy of a specific visible wavelength of light from inside said lightpipe to outside of said lightpipe. Regarding claim 2, said light

Application/Control Number: 10/623,015 Page 3

Art Unit: 2875

emitting feature (3) is disposed on the surface of said lightpipe. Regarding claim 3, the light emitting feature comprises a void 48 in said lightpipe, said void (3) comprising the absence of a portion of the lightpipe. Regarding claim 4, the void 48 has a cross section and a depth.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

- 3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:
 - (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 1-4 and 9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Hulse. Hulse discloses a light pipe comprising a lightpipe (18, 20, 22) having a major axis and a first end and a second end; a light emitting feature having a defined surface located along the length of said lightpipe, wherein said light emitting feature is adapted to 48 transmit electromagnetic energy of a specific visible wavelength of light from inside said lightpipe to outside of said lightpipe. Regarding claim 2, said light emitting feature is disposed on the surface of said lightpipe. Regarding claim 3, the light emitting feature comprises a void 48 in said lightpipe, said void comprising the absence of a portion of the lightpipe. Regarding claim 4, the void 48 has a cross section and a depth.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,015 Page 4

Art Unit: 2875

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hulse '058 or Mezei et al. Hulse '058 or Mezei et al discloses the claimed invention except for teaching that the light source is a laser LED. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to substitute a laser LED for the light sources of Hulse '058 or Mezei et al in order to provide an alternatively efficient light source.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Dealey Jr. et al, McGaffingan, Abe et al or Levins et al disclose light pipes which are similar to applicant's invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas M. Sember whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/623,015

Art Unit: 2875

272-2381. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8 A.M- 5.30 p.m. first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sandra O'Shea can be reached on 571-272-2378. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thomas M Sember Primary Examiner Art Unit 2875